

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Sir, of these 22 clerks, how many have put in the maximum service in one and the same court?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have no information.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Sir, when are these clerks going to be transferred to mofussil courts?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is very difficult to answer that question. The proper authority to transfer is the District Judge and he is going to take action.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—Whether there are special reasons to keep these clerks at the same post for more than five years?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Sir, may I know whether the rule to transfer an individual officer at the end of three years exists today and if so whether it applies to these courts?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—There are no hard and fast rules in this connection. But the practice is not to

continue the clerks and officers for more than five years at a place.

Equation of Posts and the Preparation of Seniority List.

Q.—84. Sri J. L. KABADI (Indi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the equations of posts of the officials coming from different areas have not been finalised yet;

(b) if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether the seniority list of all the officials has been finalised;

(d) If not, when it is likely to be completed:

(e) whether the criteria laid down by the Government of India for fixation of seniority has been strictly followed;

(f) whether any Government officials have represented for not adopting this criteria in fixing their seniority;

(g) if so, how many?

A.—**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister).—

(a) Provisional equation of posts of Gazetted Officers of the following Departments have been notified:—

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Assistant Commissioners/
Deputy Collectors | 13. Registration Department |
| 2. Under Secretaries | 14. Settlement and Land
Records |
| 3. Class I—Civil Service—
Non-I.A.S. | 15. Co-operation Department |
| 4. Animal Husbandry
Services | 16. Electrical Department |
| 5. Public Works Depart-
ment | 17. Statistics Department |
| 6. Forest Department | 18. Mamlatdars/Amildars/
Tahsildars/Subedars |
| 7. Commercial Taxes Depart-
ment | 19. Prisons Department |
| 8. Excise Department | 20. Public Health |
| 9. D.S.Ps. and Deputy S.Ps. | 21. Education (General) |
| 10. District Judges | 22. Education (Tech.) |
| 11. Kannada Translator | 23. Social Welfare |
| 12. Information Department | 24. Sericulture (Class I) |
| | 25. State Transport |
| | 26. Judicial Officer below the
cadre of District Judges |

Proposals regarding equation of posts of non-Gazetted Officers have been received from the following Departments:—

- | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Marketing Department | 5. Prisons Department |
| 2. Labour ,, | 6. Development ,, |
| 3. Statistics ,, | 7. Co-operation ,, |
| 4. Social Welfare ,, | 8. Weights and Measures |

- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 9. Animal Husbandry | 19. Revenue |
| 10. Public Instruction | 20. Printing and Stationery |
| 11. Legislature Secretariat | 21. Stamps |
| 12. Archæology | 22. Forest |
| 13. Excise | 23. Public Works |
| 14. Commercial Taxes | 24. Mysore Government
Secretariat |
| 15. Police Department | 25. Fisheries |
| 16. Registration | 26. Electricity |
| 17. Technical Education | 27. Medical Department |
| 18. Settlement and Land
Records | |

(b) In respect of non-Gazetted cadres and Gazetted Officers of other Departments, the material required for determining equation of posts is being collected.

(c) Not yet ; Provisional Inter-State Seniority lists of the Gazetted Officers of the following Departments have been prepared :—

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Assistant Commissioners/
Deputy Collectors | 15. Co-operation |
| 2. Under Secretaries | 16. Electrical |
| 3. Class I—Civil Service—
non-I.A.S. | 17. Statistics |
| 4. Animal Husbandry
Services | 18. Agriculture |
| 5. Public Works Department | 19. Marketing |
| 6. Forest Department | 20. Prisons |
| 7. Commercial Taxes | 21. Medical |
| 8. Excise Department | 22. Public Health |
| 9. D.S.Ps. and Deputy S.Ps. | 23. Education (General) |
| 10. District Judges | 24. Education (Technical) |
| 11. Kannada Translator | 25. Social Welfare |
| 12. Information | 26. Industries and Commerce |
| 13. Registration and Stamps | 27. Sericulture (Class I
Officers) |
| 14. Settlement and Land Records | 28. State Transport |
| | 29. Mamlatdars/Amildars/
Tahsildars/Subedars. |

As regards non-Gazetted Officers, Provisional Inter-State Seniority list of Superintendents, First Division Clerks, Stenographers, II Division Clerks of Mysore Government Secretariat and non-Gazetted staff of Department of Archæology have been prepared.

(d) As early as possible.

(e) Yes.

(f) Yes. A number of representations to vary the criteria laid down by the Government of India have been received.

(g) As a number of representations are on behalf of groups, it is not possible to indicate the number of persons.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—What are the main principles taken into consideration while fixing the seniority ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The principles are, period of service in a particular grade, the pay scale and age.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—If the date of entry into service and not efficiency is taken into consideration, will it not

harass an efficient servant irrespective of the date of entry into service ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I did not say ‘date of entry into service’. I said ‘period of service’ in a particular grade.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—By taking the date of entry into consideration, while fixing seniority, will it not amount to ignoring the efficiency, integrity, etc. ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Sir, the factor of efficiency is already there in the administration. This question is about equation of posts and fixing the Inter-State seniority between officers of the integrating parts. There the Government of India has given instruction about the factors which may be taken into consideration in fixing the seniority. I have mentioned these factors just now.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Sir, is it not a fact that there is an impression that some injustice is done and that old Mysore officials are let down?

Mr. SPEAKER—There are two questions in that. Please ask one question.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that there is an impression in the public that officers of old Mysore State are let down?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There is no question of the impression of the public. It is a question of the impression of the officers. As far as I know, it is not only old Mysore State officer, but every body thinks that he is let down.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—May I know, Sir, that while fixing the equation, whether the Government have borne in mind the promotion opportunities that were available to the employees under the conditions of Service Rules that existed in the parent State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—They are taken into consideration.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that in the several seniority lists that have already been published, the date of entry and the number of years of service are not mentioned?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The seniority list of the Departments are not published as yet. The tentative seniority lists have been approved and they are published already in the gazette.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—My question is: in these lists that are already published, the date of entry into service and the number of years of service that an employee has put in have not been mentioned.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I do not know what facts are mentioned in the Gazette as such. But while fixing seniority, all these factors are taken into consideration and they are on the record of the Government.

1-30 P.M.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Supplementary to (e): Has it come to the notice of Government that, so far as the Labour Department is concerned, the provisional list does not really implement the criteria laid down by the Government of India?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಬ್ರೋಹಿಲ್.—ಒಂದೇ ಡೆಸ್ಪೇಚ್ ಇದ್ದು ಬೇರೆ ಭಾಗಗಳಿಂದ ಬಂದವರನ್ನು ಖಚಿತ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಸರ್ವೋತ್ತಮ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬಂದು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆತ್ತ, ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಪರಿಮಿತ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಬಳ—ಇವು ಮಾರಣದ್ವಾರಾ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡು ಖಚಿತ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರ್ಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Yes, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—(e) ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಾಂಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ ಎಂಬ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಿದೆಯೇಲೆ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಗೆ ಓಂದಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗಿದ್ದಂಥ ಅಸಿನ್‌ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾಪ ನರ್ ಬಿಬ್ರಾನ್ನು ದೆತ್ಯುಟಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾಪನರಾಗಿ ಪ್ರವೋಪಣ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೀಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್. That presumption is wrong.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಈಗೆನ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನೀವು ಜನರಲ್‌ಗ್ರಾಹಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನನ್ನ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೀನೆ. ಪ್ರವೋಪಣ ಕೊಂಡುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ನೋಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; ಅವರ �merits ಮತ್ತು confidential reportಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ನೋಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಡಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಅಗಿದೆಯಿಂದ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಾಂಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 11ನೇಯ ಸಾಫ್ಟ್‌ವರ್ಡ್‌ನ್ನು ಪರಿಬುಧಿಸಿ ಪ್ರವೋಪಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಚಿಕ್ಕದುಗ್ಗಿ ದೆತ್ಯುಟಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾಪನರಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವುದು ತಪ್ಪಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಾಂಸ್ ಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನೈಟ್ರಿಫೆನ್ ಬೇಕು. Since the list has been prepared for a number of departments, it is only in the case of a few departments which are mentioned here that the tentative seniority list is not prepared as yet.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಉನ್ನತಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮನವಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಇಜುವರೆಗೆ ನಿರಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಉನ್ನತಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಹೀಗೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಉನ್ನತಿ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳನ್ನಿಂದ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಯಾವುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What is it that the Government of Mysore has to do with the directive from the Government of India in the matter of fixing the seniority of officials? Is it a subject of the Centre or is it a matter within the purview of the State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There is no question of the Mysore Government approaching the Central Government. At the time of reorganisation there were conferences of the representatives of the State Governments which were reorganised and the Central Government and in those conferences these questions were discussed and based on those discussions the Government of India had issued instructions to the respective States to follow the principles laid down by them.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it not now clear to the Government of Mysore that those criteria prescribed by the Government of India which are at least in the nature of suggestions have proved very ineffective and have led to a lot of discontent in the services?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—1957ನೇಯ ಜನವರಿ 28ನೇಯ ತಾರಿಖಿನ ಗೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಫಾಯಾಪ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಉನ್ನತಿ ತಾವು ಪರಿಗಳನ್ನತ್ತೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಉನ್ನತಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಳನ್ನತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I do not know which list the Hon'ble Member is referring to. I can say this much that the tentative seniority list has been published in the Gazette and that tentative seniority list is followed by the Government,

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಉನ್ನತಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಅಸಿಷ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಮನರಾಗಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯ ವಾಗಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಅಗ್ಗಿ ರುಪುದನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನನ್ನ ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಬಿರಜಣಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲೇ ಹರಿತೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೀರೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In answer to my question whether following the criteria prescribed by the Government of India has not led to discontent on the part of the officials the Minister was pleased to say. ‘No’! Is it not clear from the answer to clause (f) ‘that they are dissatisfied’?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I did not say ‘there is no discontent’; I said there is no injustice’.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ದವರು ತಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಸುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದ ರಳ್ಳಿ ಅನೇಕ ನೌಕರಿಗೆ ಅತ್ಯಾತ್ಮೀಯಾಂಶಾಯಾಲಿತೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ‘ಇಲ್ಲ’ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಜನಹಿನ್ನೆ ಮಾನವಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅತ್ಯಾತ್ಮೀಯೀ ಕಾರಣವೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬಹುದಳ್ಳವೇ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿಪಡು?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—On the other hand, many of the officers are under the impression that they are let down. I have not said that there is no discontent. What I have said was that there was no injustice.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—What does the Government propose to do in respect of those representations which seek to vary the criteria laid down by the Government of India in respect of this matter?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The Committee will examine the whole question and submit them to the Government. The Government will decide this question finally.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Have the Government received representations from the Department of Co-operation in Bellary that the equation of posts that they have proposed in respect of them are unjust and not proper and that their work as Junior Inspectors has been overlooked in fixing their seniority?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not in a position to say whether representations have been received from any particular department or any particular place. Possibly representations might have been received from that department as they have been received from other parts of the State.

Sri G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—May I know whether the Gazetted Officers of the Public Health Department of the former Hyderabad State have been equated with the Gazetted Officers of the Public Health Department of the Mysore State?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I cannot give any reply about particular cases.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಹಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಡ್ಲೆಸ್‌ನು ಯಾವ ಅದಾರದ ಪೇಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಿರಿ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಹೊತ್ತೂ ಅದನ್ನೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಿ. ವಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ criteria ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೇ, ಆ criteria ಬಹಳ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆಯಂದು ತಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಹಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆಯಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀವೆ. ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ತೇವಾನ ತಿಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು Pay Scales ವಿಚಾರ.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA.—On the eve of reorganisation it is learnt that some accelerated promotions were given so that the servants concerned might get some advantage. Is this fact taken into account while fixing the seniority?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That does not apply to old Mysore only but to all the parts of the State. The Government of India has taken into consideration that question and has issued instructions in such cases also.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—May I know while equating the posts, whether a N.G.O. who was eligible for promotion to a gazetted cadre in his parent State would have such an opportunity available to him in the new State according to the present criteria?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Government have mentioned four or five factors and all these factors will have to be taken into account and the factor mentioned by the Hon'ble Member is one of them.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—In view of the various representations made in this behalf, will the Government

propose to request a High Court Judge to go into the question and see that nothing untoward happens in this respect?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I cannot understand how a High Court Judge is concerned with this. It is the Government which is concerned; Government have appointed a Committee. The Committee will examine the representations and the help of the Government of India officers also is taken in this connection. Their recommendations will be submitted to Government and Government will decide these cases finally.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—In answer to a previous question, the Hon'ble Minister said that he was not aware. The question was that Gazetted Officers from Madras had not been equated with Gazetted Officers in Mysore. Has there been a representation from the Public Health Department?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I said possibly representations might have been received. How can I know that a particular officer has represented or not?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಂ. ಮುಂದಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ನಮಿತ ನಡವ್ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ಯಾರು? ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Chief Secretary and Secretaries of certain departments. I am not in a position to say which Secretaries of which departments are included in the Committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಂ. ಮುಂದಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಆ ನಮಿತ ತಯಾರಿ ನಡವ್ಯಾರು ಈ ನಮನ್ಯಯನ್ನು ನರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—After all, theirs is not the final decision. They have to consider these representations and submit them to the Government with their recommendations and Government is the final authority and Government will decide.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—(e) ಹ್ಯಾತ್ಯಯಲ್ಲಿ “ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಡ್ಲೆಸ್ ಗೆತ್ತುಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾರತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವ ಅಂತರ್ಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ” ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ “ಹೌದು” ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಅಂತರ್ಗಳು ಯಾವುವು?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಂ. ಮುಂದಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಆ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ನಮಿತಯವರು ಯಾವ್ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಂದಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹರು ಇಂಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಿಶೀಲನ್‌ಪ್ಲಾಟ್‌ಡೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಂ. ಮಾಡಲಗಿರಿಗಾರೆ.—ಇಂದಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನೂಚಿಸಿರುವದಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನೇನಾದರೂ ಸೀರೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—As far as I know, here is no deviation. Suppose there is any deviation in any particular case, he Government will examine it. Government have not yet taken a decision and they have not received the recommendations. After the recommendations of the Committee are received, Government will decide and if there is any deviation, that will be considered by the Government.

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Is it not a fact that the northern Karnatak officials have got greater powers and greater position as compared to Mysore officials?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is the view of some of the officers from the Bombay rea.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URUS.—Is it not a fact that some of the members of this Committee are the very persons who are responsible for preparing the provisional seniority list of officers?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Some of them are members of the Committee.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URUS.—In view of the answer given, do the Government feel that these very persons who are responsible for the provisional seniority list will do justice in the existing Committee on the representations made by several officers?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The members of the Committee are not the final authority. The members are there; in addition, the help of the Government of India officers also is taken. They are also sitting in the Committee. After all, the Committee is going to submit recommendations to Government and Government is going to consider every question and decide.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡವೇಡೆ.—ಅ ವಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ರಿಶೀಲನೆ ವಾಡಲು ಒಂದು ನಮಿತ ನೇರು ಕವಾಗಿದೆ, ಮಿತಿ ನದನ್ಯರ ತಪಶೀಲು ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈಗ ರಾಧ್ಯಾಲ್ಲಿವೆಂದು ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಡಲಗಿರಿಗಾರೆ ಪ್ರತೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆ ನಮಿತಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಳೆಯ ಸ್ಕೂನೆಲಿನವರು, ಹೊಸ ಚೈಕುನೂರಿನವರು ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ದ್ವಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ನಮಾಚಾರ ತರಿಂಬಿದಗಿನಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಕ್. ಬ್ರೋರೆಣ್ಣಿಗಾರೆ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇದು ಅಷ್ಟು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲ, ಮತ್ತೆ, ನಾವು ನೇರ್ಡು ತ್ವರಿತವಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ನೇರ್ಡು ತಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಶಿಥಾರಸಿನ ಹೇಳೆ ನೂಡುತ್ತಾರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ನೇರ್ಡು ತಾರೋ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ನಾಡಿಗೆ ಜೆಡೆಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 75 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾದವರ ಕ್ರೋಕೆಳಗೆ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾದವರಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸುವದಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಬದು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಭಾಗಗಳ ವಿಲೀನೀಕರಣವಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅತ್ಯುತ್ತಿಷ್ಠಾಗಿರುವದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕಿಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಅ ಧ್ಯಾಕ್ಕಣ ರು.—ಅತ್ಯುತ್ತಿಷ್ಠಾಗಿಬೆಯಂದು ತೀಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri K. MALLAPPA.—May I know whether it is a fact that the officers from the State of Coorg have been placed under a disability on account of its small size?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is not the case. While equating the posts, all the factors have been taken into consideration.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Where was the necessity for the Government to appoint this Committee?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The Committee has to examine the representations. Notes have to be drawn; various points have to be pointed out to the Government. So all these preparations are to be made and Government have to consider them.

Charging of Excess Water Rate under Vani Vilas Sagar Atchkat.

Q.—210. **Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that owners of lands which are supplied with water of Vani Vilas Sagar Channels, cultivating paddy and sugarcane are being made to pay excess water rate at Re. 1 per acre and the cesses on this amount unauthorisedly between 1st February 1945 to 24th February 1947